## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/814,198	YOON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Choi	2621		

		Michael Choi		2621		
The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication appe	ars on the cover sl	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2008 FA	AILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN	CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3_month b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION	ne mailing date of this A period for reply expire la check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) thater than SIX MONTHS b). ONLY CHECK BO)	from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 C have been filed is the date for purposes of deter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply recemay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. NOTICE OF APPEAL	mining the period of ext expiration date of the s eved by the Office later	ension and the corresp hortened statutory peri than three months afte	oonding amount o iod for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	1.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed a  (a) They raise new issues that wou  (b) They raise the issue of new ma  (c) They are not deemed to place  appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims	uld require further cor atter (see NOTE below the application in beto	nsideration and/or se w); ter form for appeal b	earch (see NOT	TE below); ducing or simplifying th		
NOTE: See Continuation She  4.  The amendments are not in complia  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(snon-allowable claim(s).	e <u>et.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1 nce with 37 CFR 1.12 following rejection(s):	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached No 	tice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ( <b>i</b>	,	
7. For purposes of appeal, the propose how the new or amended claims wou The status of the claim(s) is (or will b Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	ld be rejected is prov e) as follows:			l be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed a because applicant failed to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 C</li> </ol>	showing of good and FR 1.116(e).	l sufficient reasons v	why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed a entered because the affidavit or othe showing a good and sufficient reasor</li> </ol>	r evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejectior	ns under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is en REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OT</li> <li>M The request for reconsideration has</li> </ol>	HER			•		
see attachment.  12. Note the attached Information <i>Discl</i>		•		Condition for alloware	de because.	
13.						
/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Uni	t 2621					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added limitation "wherein the broadcast signal is received by the decoding unit from a signle tuner" raises new issues that require further consideration.